vein $C u$; other spots at origin of $R s, S c_{2}$, midlength of vein $C_{u}$, fork of $M_{3+4}, m$ and a marginal series at ends of all longitudinal veins, smallest on $\mathrm{R}_{4}$, larger on the anal veins; veins yellow, darkened in the clouded areas. Venation: $m$ lying far distad, the outer section of vein $M_{3}$ less than twice $m$ alone.

Abdomen dark brown, sparsely pruinose; hypopygium dark. Male hypopygium with the tergite distinctive; a medial oval spatulate lobe that is provided along its lateral margins with long spinous setae, the longest about one-third the length of the lobe; apex of lobe obtusely rounded; lateral shoulders of tergite on either side of median lobe with additional strong spinous setae. Outer dististyle relatively stout but regular in outline, without an outer lobe as in armillaris. Inner dististyle with apical blade narrow, much more so than in lavis. Gonapophyses appearing as slender black horns, the tips converging toward the midline, without a serrulate dilation before apical spine, as in armillaris.

Habitat.-Georgia. Holotype: ô, Toccoa Falls, Stephens County, on cañon rocks and cliffs, April 19, 1939 (H. L. Sweetman).

I am very pleased to mame this interesting and unusually beautiful crane-fly in honor of my colleague at the Massachusetts State College, Dr. Harvey L. Sweetman. In its genitalic characters, it is quite distinct from Erioplera (Ilisia) armillaris Osten Sacken and E. (I.) lazis Aleanaler, both of northeastern North America.

## A Dragon-fly from the Eocene of Colorado (Odonata: Agrionidae).

By T. D. A. Cockerell, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colo.

In the vicinity of Roan Creek, north of DeBeque, Mr. John Player, of the University of Colorado Museum, found a very leautiful fossil dragon-fly in the Green River shales. The precise locality is along Scott's Trail, 100 feet or more above the rim.

The insect appears to be most nearly related to Hypolestes Gundlach (Ortholestes Calvert) from the West Indies, and the coutinental American genus Archilestes. It is so near to $H y$ -

polestes that I at first thought it might be referred to that genus, but on closer analysis it is evidently distinct. In giving the generic characters I follow the method of Calvert for Ortholestes (Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., 1893, p. 377).

EOLESTES new genus.
(1) Mediun sized species with robust thorax and unclouded wings, the anterior wing 31 mm . long.
(2) Nodus 11.6 mm . from base of wing and 14.5 from stigma; petiole slightly over 5 mm . long.
(3) Quadrangle with upper side longer than base, but not so long as the very oblique apical side, which meets the lower margin at a very acute angle. Thus the quadrangle is more like that of Archilestes than that of Ortholestes. The base of the quadrangle is however narrow (style of Megalestes), not broad as in Archilestes.
(4) Stigna large and long, as in Archilestes, but not appreciably swollen below. Its lower side is bounded by $41 / 2$ cells in one wing, $3 \mathrm{~T} / 2$ in another (the upper wing of the opposite side).
(5) There are 12 to 14 cells on costa between nodus and stigma; this nearly agrees with Archilestes; Ortholestes has more.
(6) Nodus to second antecubital crossvein is 5.3 mm., apparently a shorter distance than in Archilestes.
(7) The nodal sector $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ arises $21 / 2$ cells beyond subnodus, essentially as in Ortholestes, not as in Archilestes.
(8) The ultra-nodal sector ( $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ a) arises four cells beyond origin of nodal sector, and is lomnded above by a series of cells precisely as in Ortholestes; below it are first seven simple cells, then four double ones, and after that there are three rows of small cells, all this essentially as in Archilestes. The vein $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ a is not evidently curved or arched below stigma.
(9) The submodal sector (radial sector) has above it, from origin of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ onward, 11 or 12 simple cells, followed by three double, after which there is a reticulated pattern of small cells, reaching as many as five in a transverse row. This resembles Archilestes rather than Ortholestes, though the latter varies, as shown ly the figures of Calvert and Munz.
(10) Below the subnodal sector is a regular series of simple cells, the outer ones much higher than long, this agreeing essentially with Megalestes. Thus the median sector ( $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ ) remains parallel with and closely following the subnodal sector, quite unlike Ortholestes, but suggesting Vegalestes.
(11) Below the median sector, from the level of the subnodus ont, are first abont seven simple cells, then six or seven double ones, after which there are three or four small cells in a transverse row. This is suggestive of Archilestes.
(12) $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}:$ are separated by a row of simple cells as in Ortholestes.
(13) Below $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ the wing is widened, with a dense reticulation of cells, four in a transverse row at the widest part. This is quite unlike Ortholestes or Archilestes, and suggests such genera as Deradatta and Diphlebia.
(14) The femora have short widely spaced bristles, the distance between them greater than the length of one; the tibiae have longer bristles, on the inner side longer than the width of the tibia.

Eolestes synthetica new species
Characters as cited above.
The figures represent the anterior wings of the two sides. They were made by Professor Hugo Rodeck, who drew the veins over a photograph which was then bleached ont. As originally collected, the body and bases of the wings coukd not be seen. With great difficulty, Professor Rodeck uncovered these sufficiently to show the characters described. The specimen is in the University of Colorado Museum.

